

## **Is It Only Natural? Evaluation Rubric**

#### **TEACHER PAGE**

#### **Sample Rubric for Global Climate Change Argumentation**



#### Level 4

Claim clearly states a position on present-day contributions to atmospheric carbon and is well written.

#### Level 3

Claim is on global presentday contributions to atmospheric carbon and states a position, but is poorly written.

#### Level 2

Claim is made on presentday contributions to atmospheric carbon, but position is not evident and claim is poorly written.

### Level 1

Claim is poorly written and off-topic.

## Clain

Amount of evidence stated is complete, is based on valid data from graph or data table, and is well written.

Amount of evidence stated is adequate but not complete, is based on data table or graph, and is well written. Amount of evidence is not adequate, but is based on data table or graph and is adequately written. Evidence is sparse, is not based on data table or graph, and is poorly written.

# asoning

Reasoning supports claim, is scientifically correct, is validated by evidence, and is well written, using correct vocabulary and terminology.

Reasoning supports claim, is scientifically correct, is validated by evidence, but is only poorly written or does not include appropriate vocabulary or terminology.

Reasoning supports claim, but only does a fair job of tying in evidence, and is poorly written or does not include appropriate vocabulary or terminology. Reasoning does not support claim and does not include evidence.